

## **Remarks**

### **I. The Amendments**

#### **A. In The Specification**

The specification has been amended merely to incorporate the revised sequence listing, filed herewith. The revised sequence listing has been generated from the original application and does not contain any new matter.

#### **B. The Sequence Listing**

Applicants have enclosed a revised sequence listing and request its entry in place of the previously entered sequence listing. The sequence listing corrects the errors noted by OIPE in the sequence listing error report. The amendments to the sequence listing do not add new matter.

#### **C. Fees**

Applicants submit herewith a copy of the fee calculation sheet submitted during the filing of the application (April 21, 2006). Applicants clearly claimed small entity status for the purpose of patent-related fees. Further, Applicants clearly submitted a filing fee based on the small entity calculation (50% of the large entity fee). Accordingly, Applicants believe that no additional fees are due, such as the \$375 deficiency fee asserted to be for 15 claims above 20 (lg. entity fee), because Applicants are small entity for the purposes of this application.

### **II. Declaration/POA**

Applicants submit herewith copies of the Declaration and Power of Attorney executed by the named inventors. Applicants have paid the small entity surcharge (\$65) during the electronic filing process.

### **III. Conclusion**

If the examiner believes a teleconference will advance prosecution, she is encouraged to contact the undersigned as indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,  
**McDonnell, Boehnen, Hulbert, and Berghoff LLP**

Dated: November 14, 2006

By :           /Christopher P. Singer/            
Christopher P. Singer  
Reg. No. 48,701